

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/768,792		02/02/2004	Alok Mani Srivastava	137073	7124	
6147	7590	04/04/2006		EXAMINER		
U		TRIC COMPANY	RAABE, CHRISTOPHER M			
	RESEAR DOCKET	CH RM. BLDG. K1-4A59		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
NISKAY	UNA, NY	12309		2879		
				DATE MAILED: 04/04/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Assistant Communication	10/768,792	SRIVASTAVA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher M. Raabe	2879	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr e, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It imely filed om the mailing date of this communication NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is	s
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application	١.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 February 2004</u> is/ar	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec	cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·		d).
The oath of declaration is objected to by the E	xammer. Note the attached On	CE ACION OF IONITY 10-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ation No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been rece	eived in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not rece	ived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform	il Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 26-35, drawn to a method for making a phosphor, classified in class 428, subclass 917.
- II. Claims 1-25, 36-43, drawn to a phosphor, classified in class 313, subclass 486.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the phosphor may be made by another and materially different process, namely one in which the heating is performed at a temperature below 700 C.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: The phosphor having a formula $(La_{1-x-y-z}Tb_xCe_yGd_z)(P_{1-q}B_q)O_4$ (to which claims 1-6,18-23,36-39,41 are limited); the phosphor $(La_{1-x-y-z-u-v}Tb_xCe_yGd_zD_uE_v)(P_{1-q}B_q)O_4$ (to which claims 7-12,24,40,42 are limited); and the phosphor $(La_{1-x-y-z-t}Tb_xCe_yGd_zJ_t)(P_{1-q}B_q)O_4$ (to which claims 13-17,25,43 are

Art Unit: 2879

limited). The species are independent or distinct because the species are separate compounds, none being an obvious variation of either of the others.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. A telephone call was made to Toan P. Vo on January 13, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 2879

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Raabe whose telephone number is 571-272-8434. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 7am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CR

ASHOK PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINED